

---

From: **Bright Early** <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sat, Sep 15, 2012 at 10:30 AM**  
Subject: GDP  
To: [ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)

Mr. Hugh,

Could you explain how the Gross Domestic Product index works? I've tried reading as much material as I can get my hands on and still I am clueless about why it is so important.

Thank you.

From: **Edward Hugh** <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sat, Sep 15, 2012 at 11:03 AM**  
Subject: Re: GDP  
To: Bright Early <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>

Not sure what the problem is. It is simply a measure of national output that goes up and down. It doesn't try or claim to measure everything that moves, but it does give a reasonable relative measure of some things.

Edward

--

"As it is I'm waging a war already, but not for territory - for time.  
I am in a trench wrestling with the past for a shred of time"  
Pavel Filonov

"Everything which we loved is lost. We are in a desert .... Before us  
is nothing but a black square on a white background!"  
Kasimir Malevich

From: **Bright Early** <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sat, Sep 15, 2012 at 2:52 PM**  
Subject: Re: GDP  
To: Edward Hugh <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>

yet I see on your Facebook at the top of the page the GDP of Portugal, so I assume you give it more importance than for example the military?

Do you feel that military plays a role in the relative performance of the world's different "economies" which are broken up by countries; and if so why is you think one does not come across much mention of the role of military in economic text books, at least not when I attended university which was so far back I care not to think?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Edward Hugh** <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sat, Sep 15, 2012 at 7:06 PM**  
Subject: Re: GDP  
To: Bright Early <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>

OK, so your question is why do I think it significant that trend growth in Portugal is in long term decline and currently near to zero. This is to do with a debate about the aging population impact, which some imagine doesn't exist. Does the modern growth era last forever, or are we now moving beyond it. Portugal is just an interesting case theoretically.

The practical economic question is to do with how to sustain social welfare for very large elderly dependency ratios with negative GDP growth.

I thought you might be concerned about things like whether GDP measures things well, or whether you can be happy with low GDP per capita (I imagine you can, but I think each society should be free to choose whether they want more rather than less money, or less rather than more.)

On defence spending, do you mean its importance as a % of GDP or as an ethical issue? As simply a % of GDP problem, it is not very different from health spending, which is, I think, widely covered in the economic literature. Naturally as an ethical issue you can look at it another way, but this is a political question which again each society can decide for itself democratically.

Edward

--

"As it is I'm waging a war already, but not for territory - for time.  
I am in a trench wrestling with the past for a shred of time"  
Pavel Filonov

"Everything which we loved is lost. We are in a desert .... Before us  
is nothing but a black square on a white background!"  
Kasimir Malevich

From: **Bright Early** <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sun, Sep 16, 2012 at 9:31 AM**  
Subject: Re: GDP  
To: Edward Hugh <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>

What if the foundation of what is now called a "democratic society" began with a militarily superior nation and its allies raping the mineral rich country of its harvest precious minerals, and then placing in charge tyrants to accomplish two objectives; first, to mop up the remaining strong resistors who fled into the countryside and neighboring territories; and second, to decide how much to charge the raper who put them in charge of deciding the selling price of the mineral wealth still buried deep underground without being replaced by their second in command.

In other words, the raped countries GDP would immediately following the invasion be at a distinct disadvantage to the nation in charge of the rape who when importing the raw materials marks them up and so their GDP goes up even more. (The rich get richer, the poor get poorer)

Do you not see the GDP index as further punishment of mineral raped countries and militarily weaker peoples?

Could you also go back to my question of how the economic text books cover this particular issue and whether you see any solution including how to right past wrongs?

Finally, would you be interested in us opening up this discussion to a much broader audience?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Edward Hugh** <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>

Date: **Sun, Sep 16, 2012 at 9:42 AM**

Subject: Re: GDP

To: Bright Early <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>

Hi, and good morning on this beautiful Sunday,

I hope the weather is as fine where you are as it is here.

I see now your question was not simply one about how GDP is measured, but a much broader one about the whole course of modern history.

> What if the foundation of what is now called a "democratic society" began  
> with a militarily superior nation and its allies raping the mineral rich  
> country of its harvest precious minerals, and then placing in charge tyrants  
> to accomplish two objectives; first, to mop up the remaining strong  
> resistors who fled into the countryside and neighboring territories; and  
> second, to decide how much to charge the raper who put them in charge of  
> deciding the selling price of the mineral wealth still buried deep  
> underground without being replaced by their second in command.

Ah, I see you are talking about the United Kingdom. Interesting issue.  
You could certainly write a history book along these lines.

> Could you also go back to my question of how the economic text books cover  
> this particular issue and whether you see any solution including how to  
> right past wrongs?

Look, I live in Catalonia, a country which has been oppressed now for three hundred years. All my neighbours in my village are obsessed with this topic, how to obtain justice for past injustice. I have come to the conclusion you can't, and that it is better to focus on how to make the future sustainable. But I wouldn't want to inhibit anyone from pursuing this line of enquiry.

> Finally, would you be interested in us opening up this discussion to a much  
> broader audience?

Well quite honestly Bright, no. I don't even know your first name. But more importantly the issue of historical justice is one in which I have no special expertise. As I told you, I am interested in the issue of stability and sustainability in the face of a historically unprecedented inversion in the structure of population pyramids. So you see, we are talking about "apples" and "pears".

Anyway, thank you for an enjoyable exchange of opinions which has helped me see some of the difficulties people have in getting to grips with the concept "GDP".

Good luck with your project,

Edward

--

"As it is I'm waging a war already, but not for territory - for time.  
I am in a trench wrestling with the past for a shred of time"  
Pavel Filonov

"Everything which we loved is lost. We are in a desert .... Before us

is nothing but a black square on a white background!"  
Kasimir Malevich

From: **Bright Early** <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sun, Sep 16, 2012 at 11:38 AM**  
Subject: Re: GDP  
To: Edward Hugh <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>

Actually, I disagree with your conclusion that we are talking about "apples" and "pears".

You are promoting an economic index in your writings without explaining the fact there is no logic to GDP apart from the military component.

You are now trying to change the subject matter of this very important discussion.

As an economist who is touted by others around the world, and who thought that you had something very important to say, you should be willing to argue your position logically and if not then why not; and if not now then when?

If for example you were aware, or should have been aware that it was the United States, not Great Britain, who was supplying Nazi Germany with its critical war material needs, both mineral resources as well as negotiable instruments such as untraceable diamonds, out of the US protectorate Belgian Congo, would any of your writings have changed?

It should not be important to know my name as we are debating facts not heritage.

BTW, have you ever once mentioned the South African mineral monopolist De Beers in any of your writings?

Again, I am not broadening the subject. I am sticking exclusively to the subject of whether it is logical to use such an insanely prejudice index like GDP to measure the relative health of nations, and which ignorant international currency traders use as gauge when placing their "bets", without an economist such as yourself first explaining why in your previous writings you haven't put forth the most important weaknesses of such a measurement?

Why even have economists since it all comes down to military and most of you don't have a clue about military, obviously; otherwise you would see the military component that much more in economic text books and articles on the relative strength of the world's different economies?

How would you know who is honest unless they had the mental capacity to first figure out before putting pen to paper what a mineral monopolist like De Beers would do right after getting their carefully picked economists to promote the GDP index?

Of course were I to give you my heavy duty economic-military schooling at the highest levels of the socio-economic ladder it would not change the irrefutable arguments I have provided you.

I am going to watch very carefully what you publish next; and it would help me tremendously if you would give me the courtesy of emailing in advance such publications.

From: **Bright Early** <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sun, Sep 16, 2012 at 12:43 PM**  
Subject: Study: Sex can make you smarter - Fwd: GDP  
To: Edward Hugh <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>

My more beautiful than the most sunlit day wife just woke up and I provided her with the synthesis of our debate.

She responded:

Isn't GDP tied into the big picture? (So is he saying, let's just isolate it?)

What's wrong with mixing apples and pears; we mix more than that; we have a whole breakfast of peach, plum, pear and apple; and one small banana in order to get the full nutrition you need; same picture with economics.

We are careful about our healthy diet that allows us to indulge without our skins bulging.

Would you agree that the medical profession is still the same selling snake oil solutions?

My beautiful, most exotic, perfect body, and might I reinforce highly sensuous wife whose well above average command of mathematics-logic has her very eager, as am I, to see the math that supports a healthy active sex life generates the growth of the brain, (further evidence that the monkeys rule) . You must be familiar with this **Study: Sex can make you smarter** - [CLICK HERE](#)

It is her conclusion that in addition to **people with little intelligence have great difficulty feeling stupid**, it is the fact that people have no will power to change and it is a matter of life and death.

Would you see it as some time in the future for people to include in their resume their daily diet, given how we all know that we are what we eat and therefore our thinking and emotions are negatively impacted by poison foods and drink such as cow milk that is liquified meat; and therefore the most interested in this information would be young adults deciding on their future partners before they get too long in the tooth?

Could it help bring out the best in you to know that I have a 60 year old brother who was recently interviewed by the BBC and it is not because he has a 28 year girlfriend who is mostly after his body since money has never been his pursuit, but nor would you find a human more physically hard working than my brother who never once got a handout from anyone including a very close relative who presided over the De Beers banking-mining network for 42 years until his death in 2009.

BTW, the church bells here within the Vatican City of Old Valencia are in full glory.

Ps - Last evening I met a hard working young Spanish entrepreneur who sells tiles throughout Europe as well as markets a line of t-shirts, and one caught me eye which I bought for my wife, and which I can share with you later as we had a friend use her iphone to photograph us along with our mimado dog that we brought from the US. I hope to get this young man with a great smile that matches his healthy eyes to print up a t-shirt with that **People with little intelligence have great difficulty feeling stupid**. Would you like to place your order now so I can figure out the first production quantity?

From: **Brian Battery** <[brianbattery34@gmail.com](mailto:brianbattery34@gmail.com)>

Date: **Sun, Sep 16, 2012 at 3:26 PM**

Subject: Questions from Bright

To: [ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)

Cc: [brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)

I was blind copied on an email sent to you by someone who I have never heard of before, at least it is an email address that I am unfamiliar with. The first email I thought was very clear and on point and so I thought was your timely response. The follow up, since I don't know if it is a woman or a man, and certainly not a computer, because it shows different thinking that you don't usually find amongst human programmed computers, had me thinking about all that I had studied at university, and quite frankly I am totally shell-shocked by the extraordinary common sense questions this person has raised.

I had mailed Bright what he thought I should ask you since you are such a public figure and he responded?

Have you ever thought about how De Beers price their diamonds?

Do you know why it is that no published economist including every non-published student of economics that I know has ever questioned how De Beers go about pricing their unlimited supply of diamonds while taking into account the untraceable currencies of the different government currencies they have stashed in their vaults which at a moment's notice they can begin offloading into trash dumpsters?

Have you ever explained why the poor Spanish have no money to spend in restaurants and why the rich Spanish take their Euros to other countries such as Greece who are experiencing more of a meltdown.

Is this still too broad a subject for your specialty?

Do you feel any obligation to the people of Catalina to explain what the 1.4 million strong protestors failed to mention during their protest on September 11?

Do you think it is just a coincidence that this annual celebration happened to occur on 9/11 or do you consider it a

conspiracy?

When you don't have people who purport to know the first thing about economic studies talking about how De Beers manage both the flow and pricing of monies that an 11 year old could figure out so long as their monkey brain has been interfered with, would you consider that a conspiracy?

How big a conspiracy?

Can you name a bigger conspiracy that is going on right now?

Would you know of a single non-profession Spanish rugby player who wouldn't find our discussion interesting?

How much formal schooling do you think they would need?

Would it interest you to know exactly how De Beers go about selecting their top economic advisors?

Could you name me one government or ex government official who you think would be on their payroll?

Do you understand both the timeliness and material relevancy of this information?

How many individuals as well as groups do you think I should have consulted with before sharing this communication with you?

Most people I know would agree these are good questions, but I think it is important what you think for all the obvious reasons. Personally, I could see these back and forth obsoleting every book ever published on the 4 subjects History, Economics, Military & Politics, but again what is important is what each of us thinks and how we act accordingly since the value of money we all seem to agree is a matter of perception but if the past perception we know is wrong then it could take just one moment for this farce to end. What then would you do for a living?

From: **Edward Hugh** <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sun, Sep 16, 2012 at 3:59 PM**  
Subject: Re: Questions from Bright  
To: Brian Battery <[brianbattery34@gmail.com](mailto:brianbattery34@gmail.com)>

Thank you Brian for keeping me posted on all this.

It would be extraordinarily interesting if this did turn out to be a humanly programmed computer.

Good luck with your research,

Edward

--

"As it is I'm waging a war already, but not for territory - for time.  
I am in a trench wrestling with the past for a shred of time"  
Pavel Filonov

"Everything which we loved is lost. We are in a desert .... Before us  
is nothing but a black square on a white background!"  
Kasimir Malevich

From: **Brian Battery** <[brianbattery34@gmail.com](mailto:brianbattery34@gmail.com)>  
Date: **Sun, Sep 16, 2012 at 4:11 PM**  
Subject: Fwd: Questions from Bright  
To: [brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)  
Cc: [ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)

Interesting this micro macro economics; maybe microbiotic food si sic the problem and we should be killing more ourselves the chicken-ham-meatloaf that we become, just to remind ourselves how foolish we have been to be led by economists who cannot

handle the truth.

From: **Bright Early** <[brightearly24@gmail.com](mailto:brightearly24@gmail.com)>  
Date: Tue, Oct 16, 2012 at 11:45 AM  
Subject: Do you consider it material?  
To: Edward Hugh <[ed.hugh@gmail.com](mailto:ed.hugh@gmail.com)>  
Cc: rest; [jrk@classactionlaw.com](mailto:jrk@classactionlaw.com), [brianbattery34@gmail.com](mailto:brianbattery34@gmail.com)

How many people do you know, including yourself, know what they are talking about?

Would you first of all agree that without knowing my name, I know what I am talking about?

If you were a bank with no cost of capital, would you applaud yourself each time you saw a consumer pay a sales tax such as the VAT, and even more so when observing a small retailer battling to survive against the monopolistic practices of supermarket conglomerates with far less costs of capital and therefore that much more purchasing power to drive you, the small business person out of business, go through the extraordinary time consuming and brain numbing exercise of filling out a VAT form so that when the purchaser-consumer leaves the country the customs officials will reimburse the VAT that costs you the bank with zero cost of capital absolutely nothing, the same with your employees and all their household purchases including the luxury accommodations and transportation vehicles such as mega yachts where you conduct your most important business meetings?

Were you to have such money power do you think you would be able to keep your ego in check and have an organization like Forbes Magazine have you numbered only 136 on the list of the world's richest billionaires?

When you get around to viewing Nicholas Oppenheimer's De Beers profile on Wikipedia as you scroll down to the middle, make sure you first look at the September 5, 2006 photo



of very awkward looking President Putin paying his respects to number 136 on the Forbes list before reading ever so carefully the 187 odd word text that I have cut and pasted below:

De Beers is well known for its [monopolistic](#) practices throughout the 20th century, whereby it used its dominant position to manipulate the international diamond market.<sup>[6][18]</sup> The company used several methods to exercise this control over the market: Firstly, it convinced independent producers to join its single [channel](#) monopoly, it flooded the market with diamonds similar to those of producers who refused to join the [cartel](#), and lastly, it purchased and stockpiled diamonds produced by other manufacturers in order to control prices through [supply](#).<sup>[19]</sup>

In 2000, the De Beers model changed,<sup>[19]</sup> due to factors such as the decision by producers in Russia, Canada and Australia, to distribute diamonds outside of the De Beers channel, thus effectively ending the monopoly.<sup>[6][18]</sup>

In November 2011 the Oppenheimer family announced their intention to sell the entirety of their 40% stake in De Beers to [Anglo American plc](#) thereby increasing Anglo American's ownership of the company to 85%.<sup>[20]</sup> The transaction is worth £3.2 billion (\$5.1bn) in cash and will end the Oppenheimer dynasty's eighty year ownership in the world's largest diamond



miner, if successful.

BTW, as a Britisher, I would expect you to be that much more informed about the circumstances surrounding the decision by Lloyd's of London insurance to ban American citizens from investing in Lloyd's who continue to allow non-American investors to use their diamond holdings as collateral to back the Letters of Credit by De Beers approved banking institutions that are used as the primary investment instrument by Lloyd's investors.

Would you expect the International Monetary Fund [IMF] and World Bank which were established in July 1944 at the Bretton Woods Conference and which was presided over by clown-puppet British economist John Maynard Keynes to have as its senior members those first approved by De Beers.

Why do you think De Beers continue to promote that photo of very skeptical looking Putin clearly not in control?

Do you agree that deafening silences talk the most volume?

Were you aware that De Beers officials dominated the Diamond Committee of the British Ministry of Economic Warfare? [\[CLICK HERE\]](#) taking you to Hollywood blockbuster author, Edward Jay Epstein's The Diamond Invention, Chapter 9 titled, DIAMONDS FOR HITLER, subtitle, THE SECRET WAR REPORT OF THE OSS/CIA.

Would you agree that the British War Cabinet that included South African Prime Minister Jan Smuts, understood the importance of diamonds in waging war before setting up the Diamond Committee?

Do you think Smuts who journeyed alongside Sir Ernest Oppenheimer, head of De Beers and their sister company Anglo-American Corporation in mid-July 1939 to the Belgian Congo that was supplying puppet-clown Hitler with his diamonds, was oblivious to the importance of diamonds?

Do you think when Smuts was the right hand person of De Beers founder Cecil Rhodes immediately prior to the outbreak of the South African Boer War that was nothing less than Smuts and his fellow ingeniously brilliant Boer Commanders such as future South African Prime Minister Louis Botha against the capitalist mineral monopolists, Smuts was blind, deaf and dumb?

Could you think of one good reason why it was that Einstein referred to Smuts as, "One of 11 men in the world who understand conceptually the Theory of Relativity" without naming the other 10?

Would you agree that would leave 7 others given how Einstein, Marc Grossman who helped Einstein with the math and Einstein's first wife understood the thinking behind  $2c$   $mE$  in reverse?

Do you think it was a smart move by Smuts who was second in command to Churchill during WW II to set up the Diamond Committee?

Do you recognize any merit to Chinese General SunTzi's statement, "Keep your friends close and your enemies even closer"?

Do you think Smuts anticipated that his name would begin to be removed from the history books, starting in South Africa, immediately following his death at age 80 on September 11, 1950, not by the British but rather the Americans who organized the Bretton Woods conference after the carefully orchestrated D-Day invasion a month earlier?

Do you find it interesting that very few to none well known economists have any military intelligence training?

Would you agree with Smuts as well as Churchill who for good reason trusted Smuts' military judgement without question, that D-Day could have been avoided altogether had the US monopolists followed Smuts' advice that immediately following the 13 day Second Battle of El Alamein which ended on November 4, 1942, for the Allies to bring WW II to a quick half by taking the initiative and moving their forces rapidly through Italy?

Do you think Smuts knew what he was doing when deciding not to write an autobiography?

How much help do you think Smuts needed in order to understand the west's nuclear weapon secrets when he was handed them by Churchill, which was the reason why Churchill made Smuts an Allied Field Marshall for the second time?

Do you consider it materially important to what you do next, apart from having to go to the bathroom to address your bodily functions, to mention why the American publisher of Smuts' biography written by his son of the same name in 1952 decided to remove the paragraph in the British edition detailing that most important trip Smuts accompanied Nicholas Oppenheimer's grandfather to the Belgian Congo?

Have you now figured out why it is that there is not much military discussion during the training of students of economics?



Are thinking that there might be a way for you to be reimbursed for all your tuition as well as opportunity costs when attending the London School of Economics?

I assume you haven't forgotten me or the point I made to you back in mid-September of the pivotal role military plays in the relatively low Gross Domestic Product of mineral raped countries like the Belgian Congo and South Africa.

I also noticed that on October 9 you wrote the following on your Facebook "wall":

The IMF simply state the obvious. Greece's sovereign debt dynamic will be off target to a considerable degree in 2017. Arriving at 2020 with a debt level of 120% of GDP is now not an option without more restructuring. This would involve other European governments accepting losses. This is unlikely before the German elections, so either the German elections need to be advanced, or the IMF have to agree to an ongoing fudge to help Germany. Not sure the Fund have the leeway for the latter.

If you were an 18 year old Frenchman, good looking, in great physical shape but coming from a poor farming family who were forced into the monoculture of grape vines, which each time you see a single grape hanging even off the tiniest of branches in a grocery shop you want to vomit as it reminds you of how poor you really are, but not suffering quite as much as your elders from Poverty of Thought brought on by years of alcohol consumption, bad water intake, equally bad company of the boring most monotonous human whose creativity is best exemplified by the proliferation of easy to understand, but totally nonsense abstract funny money modern art, because you still have an ample supply of enzymes remaining but still the salt in everything beginning with your lust for over saturated salt bread is already negatively impacting your ligaments and joints, and thankfully still somewhat conscious of your own lack of mental capacity to figure out not only the monetary system at a much earlier age but that the pesticides also blow into the nostrils of the bees who if there is a God would be commanded to die en masse to reinforce the single most important commandment that does not say, "Do not kill human beings" for it is very clear, "Don't kill", period, exclamation mark ad-nausea, and it was just a matter of time before you, your siblings, parents and grandparents were totally broke and forced off your ancestral lands to move to the city to do the slave labor cleaning up after the lazy filthy rich Occidentals who never came close to embracing the ancient art culture of the superbly industrious Chinese, and your choices were very clear, either join the French Foreign Legion or marry a rich vegan fed most beautiful Spanish girl whose parents had sufficient mindfulness to learn about a properly balanced non-animal healthy medicine food diet long before removing all the contraception devices and therefore knowing that the worst type of nutrition because it is pure poison coming from any animal that had eyes, ears, nose, throat, lungs, heart, kidney, hot blood running through its veins etc etc, just like humans but with much more sensitivity as the human becomes the animal it consumes but never able to absorb its sensitivities which are lost at the moment of their unnatural death, prior to being horribly tortured to death when the fear deposits remain with the idiot human consumer long after the 80 hours it takes a healthy human's digestive system to dispense with the least poisonous of the animal's entrails with the most silent cancer cells hiding out amongst the fat that restricts the blood flow to the brain which is the main cause of the moron human going deafeningly silent and so slow as well as the last to wake up to the spiritual-metaphysical world that is much more real as well as uplifting as the physical world is limited in it's scope by the unimaginably genius force of gravity which is increasingly confining the less ambulatory the human becomes thanks to logically increased disease that comes with a well in advance warning system which we call pain and while real is very subjective to each of us unlike the mental pain of knowing that it is stupidity rather than corruption that unites all human beings which is a memory that once confirmed is impossible to eradicate from one's thoughts until such time as dementia proper kicks in and by which time the thoughts of sex are far removed from the human's wants and needs as it is only survival that consumes all the thought processing and that mental anguish causing that much more frowning upon an increasingly lined face that is not attractive to the youth who are only interested in having fun and at the top of the list is sex with a most beautiful bodied human with very few exceptions that prove the rule.

There is one other option, thanks in no small measure to reading this, and that is to open a small cafe in a town like Uzes, France after first venturing to Valencia, Spain where you have identified that young Spanish woman of your dreams and asked her to marry you, but first for her to join you in Uzes to attract the rich upwardly mobile of the world fast escaping the pending catastrophic real estate market collapse of the United States which is having no noticeable effect on the Chinese economy and that of its trusted trading partners because there are only positives, wouldn't you go to the funding source with the lowest cost of capital and once wildly successful beyond your and her greatest financial dreams to have parlayed the profits of the cafe into other De Beers untraceable partnerships such as street sign making and other ventures including the scrap metal business to take advantage of all the steel coming from obsolete weapon systems whose source include fragments from the Twin Towers that tumbled in 9/11?

Not to mention, you wouldn't expect the pesticide company's like Monsanto or the farmers they supply to have their oversight organizations like the American Food and Drug Administration to make consumers of wine aware that earth bugs would focus their energies on attacking on monoculture farming given how logic says that with DNA replicating faithfully the bugs would adapt that much easier without the distraction of variety.

To mention little it is only the spices that make animal eating palatable and it is therefore only the fool human that is easily fooled.

To mention in passing, if one takes God out of the equation one is left with having to convince others of the logic that you follow beginning with what possible reason can you give for never in the past making the military component an important element of your speeches about money having a perceived value at the same time not pointing out that were you to discuss military in any way, shape or form you would have to address why it is that the regular soldiers are paid so very little as it would immediately beg the question of why even talk about the value of money having a perceived value when its value would be most exact as the soldier with the gun when grabbing the resources of the world following each battlefield victory would be assigning the value until such time as that soldier's military was defeated.

You continue to be, to the best of my knowledge, an advisor to a very important Spanish bank and therefore wouldn't you, someone who makes their monopoly money from giving economic advice know why it is that De Beers have the lowest cost of capital; and if not what possible excuse can you come up with?

I include in the carbon copy section Mr. Jeffrey R. Krinsk Esq. who was a hugely successful shareholder class action litigator [SCAL] before moving into the spectacularly financially rewarding anti-trust money making business where he rides the coattails of the US Justice Department whose officials have yet to come close to spelling out as well as me the gun-money-diamond power of De Beers. I do so, in part, that in the event something nefarious were to happen to me you would know who to go to in order to have any questions that remain, answered fully and accurately. Please, though, do not disturb Mr. Krinsk Esquire prematurely, especially avoid making a call on Monday mornings when he is most busy following the weekend's gaming activity.

If you can think of a better way to ensure my personal safety and at the same time get the information out there to all bank depositors throughout the world so as to avoid the carefully laid plans to cause a run on the banking system which profits the same group of people who were the greatest beneficiaries of the Jewish Holocaust which was the main objective of World War I and WW II in addition to of course the theft of South Africa's harvested gold and other rich mineral resources, please don't be quiet.

To further my efforts I plan to publish a book of our back and forth communications, and therefore if you know of a reputable publisher would you kindly do me the courtesy of forwarding to me their particulars.

[Word count 2772]